

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

|                                 |   |                     |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| FREDERICO GONZALEZ, #31225-177, | ) |                     |
|                                 | ) | CIVIL ACTION NO.    |
| Petitioner,                     | ) |                     |
|                                 | ) | 3:16-CV-1689-G (BK) |
| VS.                             | ) |                     |
|                                 | ) | CRIMINAL ACTION NO. |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,       | ) |                     |
|                                 | ) | 3:03-CR-329(13)-G   |
| Respondent.                     | ) |                     |

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND  
RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**


The United States Magistrate Judge made findings, conclusions, and a recommendation in this case. No objections were filed. The district court reviewed the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the court **ACCEPTS** the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the United States Magistrate.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that the successive section 2255 motion is **TRANSFERRED** to the **United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit**. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3); 28 U.S.C. § 1631.\*

The clerk of the court is **DIRECTED** to transmit a copy of this order to the Federal Public Defender's Office.

**SO ORDERED.**

August 3, 2016.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
A. JOE FISH  
Senior United States District Judge

---

\* An order transferring a successive application to the court of appeals is not a final order requiring a certificate of appealability. *See United States v. Fulton*, 780 F.3d 683, 688 (5th Cir. 2015)